arXiv:1702.08059v2 [math.OC] 19 Mar 2017

Observability and Controllability of Schrödinger equation with infinite square-well potential

Duc-Trung Hoang
Institute Mathematics of Bordeaux, France.
dthoang@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Abstract

We discuss exact controllability of boundary and internal observability of a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation on a time dependent domain.

1 Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger equation on time dependent domain

$$\begin{cases} i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0 & x \in [0, L(t)] \\ u(0,t) = u(L(t),t) = 0 & t \geq 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0 & x \in [0,1] \end{cases} \tag{P}$$

where $L(t) = 1+\varepsilon t$ describe the moving linear motion. The Schrödinger equation on time dependent domain could be an interesting problem to investigate in quantum physicsm (for example, see [3]). In this paper, we discuss exact observability of boundary and internal observation of a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation on a time dependent domain (P). We will prove 2 results for the boundary observability as following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\tau_0 = \frac{2}{\pi - 2\epsilon}$. For all $\tau \geq \tau_0$, there exist the constant $C(\tau, \epsilon)$ depending on τ and ϵ such that:*

$$\int_0^\tau \left|u_x(0,t)\right|^2 dt \geq C(\tau,\varepsilon)\|u_0\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\tau_0 = \frac{2}{\pi - 2\varepsilon}$. For all $\tau \geq \tau_0$, there exist the constant $C(\tau, \epsilon)$ depending on τ and ε such that:*

$$\int_0^\tau \left|u_x(L(t),t)\right|^2 dt \geq C(\tau,\varepsilon)\|u_0\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}$$

The following thorem tell us the internal observability

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\omega = (a, b)$ be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}$. We assume that $0 < a < b < 1$ and $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{\pi - 2\epsilon}{\pi}$. We have*

$$\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi - 2\epsilon}} \int_a^b \big|u(x,t)\big|^2 dxdt \geq C(a,b)\|u_0\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}$$

where $C(a, b)$ be the constant depending on a and b. In fact, for any $\tau \geq \frac{2}{\pi - 2\varepsilon}$, the system (P) is exactly observable.

The next result indicate about the average observability. In the bounded domain case, E. Zuazua [15] proved that for any $T > 0$ and open set $\omega = (a, b) \in (0, 1)$ satisfying GCC, there exists k_T and K_T be positive such that the following estimation in $L_1(0, T; L_2(\omega))$ holds:

$$k_T\|u_0\|^2_{L_2(0,1)} \leq \big(\int_0^T \big(\int_a^b |u|^2 dx\big)^{1/2} dt\big)^2 \leq K_T\|u_0\|^2_{L_2(0,1)} \tag{1.1}$$

Our goal establish the similar result in the time dependent case.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\omega = (a, b)$ be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}$. We assume that $0 < a < b < 1$ and $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{\pi - 2\epsilon}{\pi}$. We have*

$$C_1(a,b)\|u_0\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq \int_0^{\frac{4}{\pi - 4\epsilon}} \big(\int_a^b \big|u(x,t)\big|^2 dx\big)^{1/2} dt \leq C_2(a,b)\|u_0\|_{L_2(0,1)}$$

This implies that for any $\tau \geq \frac{4}{\pi - 4\varepsilon}$, the system (P) is exactly observable.

2 Boundary controllability

Before stating our results, it is useful to formulate the Schrödinger equation (P) as an abstract non-autonomous Cauchy problem. Let $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$A(t)u = iu_{xx} \quad \text{with} \quad D(A(t)) = H^1([0, L(t)]). \tag{2.1}$$

then (P) rewrites $u'(t) = A(t)u(t)$ with $u(0) = u_0$. It is not important here that $D(A(t))$ is not dense in X. Since we will state several theorems that can be interpreted as exact observation we will briefly sketch the duality theory that allows to rephrase these assertions in terms of exact control. Now suppose that we are given observation operators $C(t)$ that are exactly observable in time $\tau > 0$, that is, we assume

$$\int_0^\tau \|C(t)x(t)\|^2 dt \ \geq \ \delta \, \|x_0\|^2$$

Then the solution z to adjoint problem

$$z'(t) = -A(t)^* z(t) - C(t)^* u(\tau - t) \qquad z(0) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

satisfies $\langle u_0, z(\tau)\rangle = \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{dt}\langle u(t), z(\tau - t)\rangle dt = -\int_0^\tau \|Cu(t)\|^2 dt$ by injection of the respective differential equations of u and z. Hence exact observability implies that

the Gramian $Q : u_0 \mapsto z(\tau)$ satisfies $\|Qu_0\|\|u_0\| \geq \langle u_0, Qu_0\rangle \geq \delta\|u_0\|$ to the effect that Q has closed image. Moreover, if $Q^*u_0 = 0$, taking scalar product with u_0 reveals $u_0 = 0$, so Q^* is injective and hence Q has dense range. By the open mapping theorem, Q is therefore an isomorphism on X. This means that the adjoint problem (2.2) can be steered to any state $z(\tau) \in X$ by an appropriate choice of the initial value u_0. It turns out that in our case, $-A(t)^* = A(t)$ by direct calculation. Indeed, for $w, v \in D(A(t))$ we have

$$\langle A(t)w, v\rangle_X = \langle iw_{xx}, v\rangle_X = \int_0^{L(t)} ivw_{xx}dx \tag{2.3}$$

$$\text{(int. by parts)} = -i\int_0^{L(t)} v_x w_x dx = \langle w, -iv_{xx}\rangle = \langle w, -A(t)v\rangle$$

So exact observation of the Schrödinger equation (P) can be reformulated as exact control for the Schrödinger equation with zero final time. We turn back to these ideas after stating our first theorem. Let $C(t) : D(A(t)) \to \mathbb{C}$ be given by $C(t)(\varphi) := \varphi_x(L(t))$. The (lower) estimate of (1.2) then reformulates as exact observability of $C(t)$ for the non-autonomous Cauchy problem (2.1). Some care has to be taken since $C(t)$ is unbounded on X. Indeed, $C(t)^* : \mathbb{C} \to D(A(t))'$ is given by $C(t)^*\alpha = -\alpha\frac{d}{dx}\delta_{x=s(t)}$ we obtain exact controllability of (2.2) in a distributional sense: writing $z(t) = v(t)$ we obtain from the abstract differential equation (2.2)

$$v_t = w \quad \text{and} \quad w = iv_{xx} + u_x(s(t), t)\tfrac{d}{dx}\delta_{x=L(t)} \qquad \text{and} \quad v(0,t) = v_t(0,t) = 0$$

that summarise as $v_t = iv_{xx}u_x(s(t), t)\frac{d}{dx}\delta_{x=L(t)}$ with zero initial data. Multiplying with a test function $\eta \in D((0, L(t)))$, and integrating on $[0, L(t)]$ we obtain by partial integration

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{L(t)} v_t(x,t)\eta(x)dx &= \int_0^{L(t)} iv_{xx}(x,t)\eta(x)dx - u_x(L(t),t)\eta'(s(t)) \\ &= \int_0^{L(t)} iv(x,t)\eta''(x)dx + (v(s(t),t) - u_x(L(t),t))\,\eta'(L(t))\end{aligned}$$

This is possible for any test function η only if the point evaluation vanishes. The dual statement of the lower estimate in (1.2) is thus exact controllability of a Schrödinger equation with Dirichlet control on the right boundary,

$$\begin{cases} v_t - iv_{xx} &= 0 & (x,t) \in \Omega \\ v(0,t) &= 0 & t \geq 0 \\ v(L(t),t) &= u_x(L(t),t) & t \geq 0 \\ v(x,0) = v_t(x,0) &= 0 & x \in [0,1] \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

3 Proof of the main results

Based on the approach in [3], the solution of (P) could be found explicitly. Let

$$u_n(x,t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{L(t)}} e^{\frac{i\epsilon x^2}{4L(t)} - in^2\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}} \sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)$$

then we take the combination

$$u(x,t)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n u_n(x,t) \tag{3.1}$$

where $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}\in l^2$

Proposition 3.1.

$$u=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{L(t)}}e^{\frac{i\epsilon x^2}{4L(t)}-in^2\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)})}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big) \tag{3.2}$$

be the solution of the non-autonomous Schrödinger equation (P)

Proof. Subtituing to the formular, we can verify the Schrödinger equation (P) □

Lemma 3.2. *The set of time-dependent eigenfunctions $u_n(x,t)$ form a complete orthornormal basic in $L_2(0,L(t))$ respected to x-variable.*

The coefficient a_n can be compute as

$$a_n=\int_0^1 u_n^*(x,0)u(x,0)dx \tag{3.3}$$

Due to orthogonality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(x,0)\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}&=\int_0^1|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n u_n(x,0)|^2dx\\&=2\int_0^1|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n e^{\frac{i\epsilon x^2}{4}}\sin(n\pi x)|^2dx\\&=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}|a_n|^2\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\tau_0=\frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}$, then $\{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}L(t)}e^{-i\pi^2n^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\}_{n\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal bassis in $L_2(0,\tau_0)$*

Proof. Noting that $\big(\frac{t}{L(t)}\big)'=\frac{1}{L(t)^2}$. When $n\neq m$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\tau_0}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}L(t)}e^{-i\pi^2n^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\overline{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}L(t)}e^{-i\pi^2m^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}}dt&=\int_0^{\tau_0}\frac{\pi}{2L(t)^2}e^{i\pi^2(m^2-n^2)\frac{t}{L(t)}}dt\\&=\frac{1}{2i\pi(m^2-n^2)}e^{i\pi^2(m^2-n^2)\frac{t}{L(t)}}\big|_0^{\tau_0}\\&=\frac{1}{2i\pi(m^2-n^2)}\big(e^{i\pi^2(m^2-n^2)\frac{\tau_0}{L(\tau_0)}}-1\big)\\&=\frac{1}{2i\pi(m^2-n^2)}\big(e^{2i\pi(m^2-n^2)}-1\big)=0\end{aligned}$$

When $n = m$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}L(t)} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \overline{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}L(t)} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}}} dt &= \int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{\pi}{2L(t)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} \frac{-1}{1+\epsilon t}\Big|_0^{\tau_0} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2\epsilon}\big(1 - \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\tau_0}\big) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

□

3.1 Boundary observation on the orgin

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

$$u_x(0,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \frac{n\pi}{L(t)} \tag{3.4}$$

Using lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_x(0,t)\|^2_{L_2(0,\tau_0)} &= \int_0^{\tau_0} \big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \frac{n\pi}{L(t)}\big|^2 dt \\ &\geq \frac{2}{L(\tau_0)} \big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \frac{n\pi}{L(t)}\big|^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{2}{L(t)} \big(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \frac{n\pi}{L(t)}\big) \overline{\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m e^{-i\pi^2 m^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \frac{m\pi}{L(t)}\big)} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{L(\tau_0)} \int_0^{\tau_0} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 \frac{n^2\pi^2}{L(t)^2} dt\end{aligned}$$

The integration $\int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{1}{L(t)^2} dt$ is equal to $\frac{\tau_0}{1+\epsilon\tau_0}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_x(0,t)\|^2_{L_2(0,\tau_0)} &\geq \frac{2\tau_0}{(1+\epsilon\tau_0)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 n^2\pi^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2\tau_0}{(1+\epsilon\tau_0)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 \pi^2 \\ &\gtrsim C(\tau_0,\epsilon)\|u(x,0)\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}\end{aligned}$$

The system 1.1 is exact observable when $\tau \geq \tau_0$ □

Remark 3.4. *The time $\tau_0 = \frac{2}{\pi - 2\epsilon}$ may be not the best optimal time.*

Remark 3.5. *Since we have*

$$\|u(x,t_0)\|^2_{L_2(0,L(t_0))} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2$$

That implies the observability at arbitray time $t_0 \geq 0$

3.2 Boundary observation on the curve L(t)

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We take the observation on the boundary $x = L(t)$. The observation operator is consider as $Cu(x,t) = u_x(0,L(t))$

$$u_x(0,L(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} (-1)^n \frac{n\pi}{L(t)} e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{4}L(t)} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_x(L(t),t)\|^2_{L_2(0,\tau_0)} &= \int_0^{\tau_0} \big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} (-1)^n \frac{n\pi}{L(t)} e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{4}L(t)}\big|^2 dt \\
&\geq \frac{2}{L(\tau_0)} \big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{1/2} e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} (-1)^n \frac{n\pi}{L(t)} e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{4}L(t)}\big|^2 dt \\
&= \int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{2}{L(t)} \big(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n e^{-i\pi^2 n^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} (-1)^n \frac{n\pi}{L(t)} e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{4}L(t)}\big) \overline{\big(\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_m e^{-i\pi^2 m^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} (-1)^m \frac{m\pi}{L(t)} e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{4}L(t)}\big)} dt \\
&= \frac{2}{L(\tau_0)} \int_0^{\tau_0} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 \frac{n^2\pi^2}{L(t)^2} dt \\
&= \frac{2\tau_0}{(1+\epsilon\tau_0)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |a_n|^2 n^2\pi^2 \\
&\gtrsim C(\tau_0,\epsilon) \|u(x,0)\|^2_{L_2(0,1)}
\end{aligned}$$

That impiles exact observability when $\tau \geq \tau_0$ □

Remark 3.6. *By similar method, if we take the observation operator is taken as* $Cu(x,t) = u_t(L(t),t)$. *Then for all* $\tau \geq \tau_0$, *there exist the constant* $C(\tau,\epsilon)$ *such that*

$$\|u_t(L(t),t)\|_{L_2(0,\tau)} \geq C(\tau,\epsilon) \|u(x,0)\|_{L_2(0,1)}$$

3.3 Internal observability

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $b_1 = \frac{b(\pi-2\epsilon)}{\pi}$. From the assupmtion for a and b, for all $t \in (0, \frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon})$, we have

$$a \leq a(1+\varepsilon t) \leq b_1(1+\epsilon t) \leq b \tag{3.6}$$

Multipling both side of 3.2 with $\frac{1}{L(t)}$, integrating over $(0, \frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon}) \times \omega$, and using the face that $\frac{1}{L(t)} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon}}\int_\omega \big|u(x,t)\big|^2dxdt &\geq \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon}}\int_\omega \big|u(x,t).\frac{1}{L(t)}\big|^2dxdt\\
&\geq \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \big|u(x,t).\frac{1}{L(t)}\big|^2dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{2}{L(t)^3}\big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n e^{\frac{i\epsilon x^2}{4L(t)}-in^2\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big|^2dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{2}{L(t)^3}\big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n e^{-in^2\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big|^2dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{2}{L(t)^3}\sum_{n,m=1}^{+\infty} a_n\overline{a_m}e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\sin\big(\frac{m\pi x}{L(t)}\big)dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

When $n\neq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{2}{L(t)^3}\sum_{n\neq m}^{+\infty} a_n\overline{a_m}e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\sin\big(\frac{m\pi x}{L(t)}\big)dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}}\int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{1}{L(t)^3}\sum_{n\neq m}^{+\infty} a_n\overline{a_m}e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\big(\cos\big(\frac{(n-m)\pi x}{L(t)}\big)-\cos\big(\frac{(n+m)\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big)dxdt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{L(t)^2}\sum_{n\neq m}^{+\infty} a_n\overline{a_m}e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\frac{2m}{\pi(n^2-m^2)}\big(\sin\big(\frac{(n-m)\pi x}{L(t)}\big)-\sin\big(\frac{(n+m)\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big)\big|_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)}dt\\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \sum_{n\neq m} A_{n,m}\frac{1}{L(t)^2}e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}dt\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

since we use the lemma (3.3) and the fact that

$$A_{n,m}=\frac{2m}{\pi(n^2-m^2)}\big(\sin((n-m)\pi b_1)-\sin((n+m)\pi b_1)-\sin((n-m)\pi a)+\sin((n+m)\pi a)\big) \tag{3.7}$$

does not depending on t. When $n = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{2}{L(t)^3} \sum_{n=m}^{+\infty} a_n \overline{a_m} e^{i(m^2-n^2)\pi^2 \frac{t}{L(t)}} \sin\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big) \sin\big(\frac{m\pi x}{L(t)}\big) dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{1}{L(t)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \big|a_n\big|^2 \sin^2\big(\frac{n\pi x}{L(t)}\big) dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \int_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} \frac{1}{2L(t)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \big|a_n\big|^2 \big(1 - \cos\big(\frac{2n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big) dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2L(t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \big|a_n\big|^2 \big(x - \frac{L(t)}{2n\pi} \sin\big(\frac{2n\pi x}{L(t)}\big)\big)\big|_{a(1+\varepsilon t)}^{b_1(1+\varepsilon t)} dt \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{2L(t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \big|a_n\big|^2 \big(b_1 - a - \frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1) - \sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi}\big) dt \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\big|a_n\big|^2}{\pi} \big(b_1 - a - \frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1) - \sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

since we use the fact that

$$\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\varepsilon}} \frac{dt}{2L(t)^2} = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

For all $z > 0$, we allways have $z > \sin(z)$. Therefore

$$\frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1) - \sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi} = \frac{\sin(n\pi(b_1 - a))\cos(n\pi(b_1 + a))}{n\pi} < \frac{\sin(n\pi(b_1 - a))}{n\pi} < b_1 - a$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Let N_0 be the smallest integer number satisfying

$$N_0 > \frac{1}{b(\pi - 2\varepsilon) - a\pi}$$

Then for all $n \geq N_0 + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 - a - \frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1) - \sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi} &= \frac{b(\pi - 2\varepsilon)}{\pi} - a - \frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1) - \sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi} \\
&> \frac{1}{N_0\pi} - \frac{1}{n\pi} \\
&> \frac{1}{N_0\pi} - \frac{1}{(N_0+1)\pi} \\
&= \frac{1}{N_0(N_0+1)\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi-2\epsilon}}\int_\omega \big|u(x,t)\big|^2 dxdt &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\frac{\big|a_n\big|^2}{\pi}\big(b_1-a-\frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1)-\sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi}\big)\\ &\geq \min\big(\frac{1}{N_0(N_0+1)\pi^2},\inf_{1\leq n\leq N_0}\big(\frac{b_1-a}{\pi}-\frac{\sin(2n\pi b_1)-\sin(2n\pi a)}{2n\pi^2}\big)\big)\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\big|a_n\big|^2\\ &= C(a,b)\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\big|a_n\big|^2\end{aligned}$$

The result is following.

□

3.4 Average observability

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We use similar method as theorem (1.3).

□

4 Open Questions

When $\varepsilon = 0$, we have already known that the solution of autonomous Schrdinger equation is given as

$$u(x,t)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n e^{-i\pi^2n^2t}\sin(n\pi x) \tag{4.1}$$

Similar to the wave equation, there is no reasonable observability at rationals point since infinitely many terms in the sum vanish, indepedently of the leading coefficient. However, in autonomous problem we guest that the phenomenon be different. For any $a\in[0,1]$, considering the observation operator as $Cu(x,t)=u(a,t)$.

$$u(a,t)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n\big(\frac{2}{L(t)}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\big(\frac{i\varepsilon a^2}{4L(t)}-in^2\pi^2\frac{t}{L(t)}\big)\sin\big(\frac{n\pi a}{L(t)}\big) \tag{4.2}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_0^\tau\big|u(a,t)\big|^2dt=\int_0^\tau\frac{2}{L(t)}\big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n e^{-i\pi^2n^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi a}{L(t)}\big)\big|^2dt$$

Since we can remove the factor $\frac{2}{L(t)}$ in the intergration, it leads to the following hypothesis:

Question 4.1. *Does there exist $\tau\geq 0$ such that the following generalized Ingham's inequality cound be true*

$$\int_0^\tau\big|\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}a_n e^{-i\pi^2n^2\frac{t}{L(t)}}\sin\big(\frac{n\pi a}{L(t)}\big)\big|^2dt\gtrsim\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}|a_n|^2 \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.2. *If (4.3) is true then we can establish the poinwise observability of (P) .*

References

[1] K. Beauchard, *Controllability of a quantum particle in a 1D variable domain*, *ESAIM*, Vol 14, No.1, 105-147 (2008).

[2] K. Beauchard, J. M. Coron, *Controllability of a quantum particle in a moving potential well*, *J. Functional Analysis*, 232, 328-389 (2006).

[3] S. W. Doescher, M. H. Rice, *Infinite Square-Well Potential with a Moving Wall*, *Am. J. Phys*, 37, 1246, (1969).

[4] L. Glasser, J. Nergo, L. Nieto, *Quantum infinite square well with an oscillating wall*, *Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals*, Volume 41, Issue 4 (2009).

[5] E. Knobloch, R. Krechetnikov, *Problems on Time-Varying Domains: Formulation, Dynamics, and Challenges*, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, Volume 137, Issue 1, pp. 123-157, (2005).

[6] M. Kristic, B. Guo, A. Smyshlyave, *Boundary controllers and observes for the lineared Schrodinger equation,SIAM J. Control Optim*, Vol 49, No.4, (2011).

[7] F. Macia, G. Riviere, *Observability and quantum limits for the Schrödinger equation on the sphere*, *arXiv:1702.02066*, (2017).

[8] J. Makowski, *Two classes of exactly solvable quatum models with moving boundaries*, *J.Phys, A: Math. Gen*, 25, 3419-3426, (1992).

[9] S.V. Mousavi, *Quantum dynamics in a time-dependent hard-wall spherical trap*, *Europhysics Letters*, Volume 99, Number 3, (2012).

[10] Ivan Moyano, *Controllability of a 2D quantum particle in a time-varying disc with radial data*, *arXiv:1611.10192*.

[11] K-D. Phung, *Observability and control of Schrodinger equations*, *SIAM J. Control Optim*, Vol. 40, No.1, pp. 211230, (2001).

[12] D.N. Pinder, *The contracting square quantum well*, *American Journal of Physics*, 58, 54, (1990).

[13] P. Rouchon, *Control of a quantum particle in a moving potential well*, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 232, 328389, (2006).

[14] Ercan Yilmaz, *One dimensional Schrodinger equation with two moving boundaries*, *arXiv:math-ph/0302006*, (2008).

[15] Enrique Zuazua, *A remark on the observability of conservative linear systems*, *DOI: 10.1090/conm/577/11462*, (2003).